

HARBOR

January 4, 2017

To:

Erik Buehmann, Principal Permit Analyst, BCDC

Cc:

Jaime Michaels, Chief of Permits, BCDC

David Smith, Stice Block

From:

Mark Sanders, Westpoint Harbor LLC

Subject:

Request for Amendment 7 to Westpoint Harbor Permit 2002.002.03

Hello Erik,

And thank you for returning my call yesterday.

As we discussed I am requesting amendment 7 to the subject permit to authorize a temporary fence in the Phase 3 area of the Westpoint Harbor project. The fence will isolate the unimproved areas of Phase 3 such that Redwood City will allow safe use of the pathway from the boundary with Pacific Shores Center, around the marina basin, to the vehicular entrance to the marina. Prior language to describe the temporary fence:

(1) "Temporarily, until development of Phase 3 at the west end of the marina, install, use, and maintain approximately 2,600 linear feet of fence not to exceed five feet in height, separating the existing path from the undeveloped Phase 3 area and building sites. The fencing shall be open (2X3) wire and not adversely impact the public access path".

Brad McCrea and I also discussed future decks which extend from the path east towards the marina basin. These are part of the phase 3 to be built when the path is replaced by a boardwalk, and are shown on the public access drawings but not specifically called out in the text of the permit. Since the decks are unaffected by building placement at least one could be installed now making the path more useable especially for kayakers and rowers who launch from this area. The decks are identical to those in the Phase 2 sized as shown on the permit drawings. Language on page 5, phase 3 item 4 would read:

(2) "Construct, use and maintain two approximately 1,500 square feet decks overlooking the marina and rowers dock".

There is also an error in the recent Amendment 6 which should be fixed. Amendment 3 moved the rower's boathouse from the east to the west side of the marina and relocated





HARBOR

the harbor house and fuel facility, and is correctly shown on the Amendment 3 DRB drawings as well as drawings referenced in Special condition II.A.5. Amendment 6 reduced the size of the boatyard buildings and added a restroom. The permit text removed the rower's boathouse from phase 2 but failed to add it back in phase 3. To correct this, the following language should be reinserted to Phase 3.1 on page 5:

"Construct, use and maintain one and two story buildings...including an approximately 6,000 square foot rower's boathouse, 5,000 square foot yacht club, 8,000 square foot restaurant..."

(4) Finally it seems to me we should attach the correct Public Access Plan drawing in the permit so it matches the permit language, legal filing and drawings cited in the permit. A correct drawing was prepared as part of Amendment 6 but not included.

Best regards

/ mendment No.	Seven, to, 2002,002
Rec'vd on	1 5 17
Staff asgnd	Matthew
Logged on	
Fee Paid	Manual main superconductions of Autority and the Commission of the
Lon-Mat	